https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Discussion_utilisateur:PierreRobin

From 5 September to 5 October 2025, the new Wikiconcurrents, a collaborative editorial event, emulator, entertaining and rewarding, is held.
If you wish, join or create **a team** on the theme you want.

User talk : PierreRobin

Add a subject

User page Discussion Read Change the code View the history

Main menu mask

- Home page
- Thematic portals
- Article at random
- Contact
- **Switch to the old dressing**

Contribute

- Start on Wikipedia
- Help
- Community
- Special pages
- Recent changes
- Make a donation

Tools mask

Actions

- Rename
- Subscribe

General

- Related pages
- Tracking linked pages

Last comment: 7 years ago by Chris a liege in the subject Warning deletion "Jean Robin (writer)"

Welcome to Wikipedia [edit code]]

Subscribe

Last comment : 17 years ago | 2 Comments | 2 participants in the discussion

Welcome to Wikipedia, **PierreRobin!**

Wikipedia is a collective writing project of a vast encyclopedia currently carried out in 250 different languages around the world.

General [edit code]]

To participate correctly here, it seems important to me to start by reading the founding principles.

Less urgent, reading pages like Wikipedia:Cite your sources, Wikipedia:Article eligibility criteria and Wikipedia:Style conventions will also be informative.

Basic syntax [edit code]]

The Sandbox is the place dedicated to essays that you will not fail to want to do on the wiki syntax (or you can eventually create a Under page to this effect).

If I had to summarize the wiki syntax in a few sentences...

- ~~~~ allows you to sign a message with a date (example : PierreRobin 23 February 2007 at 12:12 (CET)). Messages to other contributors must be signed (chat pages), but not articles (the History allows to find the authors). (see Help:Signature) **Reply**
- Making internal links :
  - [[article]] will display article, with a link to the page of the same name. If the link is red, then the landing page does not exist.
  - [[Article|another text]] will display another text, always pointing to Article.
- '''gras''' and ''italic'' will display **respectively fat** and *italic*
- == title == (alone on a line) allows you to create a section title. The number of "=" indicates the level of the title, allowing to create a tree (this message is an example of a tree of titles). (see Aide:Syntaxe#TitreHelp:Syntax#Title)
- A template (called like this: {{model sample}}) used in any page displays content that is actually stored in the Template: Template Example page. This allows you to insert the same text or code (portal banner, etc.) in many pages without having to rewrite it completely each time. For example, {{Japan Portal and Japanese Culture}} is used at the bottom of all pages related to Japan. (see Help:Model)
- It is recommended to classify the articles so that they are found more easily: it is enough to assign them categories by inserting [[Category:name of category]] at the bottom of the article. However, be careful to check that the category does exist by previewing the page: if the link is red, then the category does not exist.

Example : The Plessis-Bouchard has category Category:Commune du Val-d'Oise. (see Help:Category)

A much more complete description is available on **Help:syntax**.

And otherwise... [edit code]]

- You can indicate, on your User page, The languages you speak, your interests and/or a brief description. To the extent reasonable, each user can put just about what they want on their personal page... however, turning it into an advertising insert or political platform will not be tolerated. (an example of what not to do)
- A **guild of godparents** is here to help those who wish. They can be reached on the Project: Active Sponsorship Service
- If you have any other questions, you can consult the referral help or service

Good continuation

Stef48--8 March 2008 at 10:44 (CET) **Reply**

François Duprat [edit code]]

Subscribe

Last comment : 17 years ago | 1 comment | 1 participant in the discussion

What questions. I don't think you'll find the answer here. Some tracks are interesting, others less. Not to mention the composite character of the environment since always. And the facts go back so long... Cordially, Necrid Master (d) 10 March 2008 at 00:39 (CET) **Reply**

Leon Trotsky [edit code]]

Subscribe

Last comment : 17 years ago | 1 comment | 1 participant in the discussion

Hello. I cancelled This modification for two reasons: The first is that I think it is something to develop in the article on the February Revolution But on the article on Trotsky. The second is that you misuse the system of notes and references (see Help:Note). Cordially, Kropotkine_113 8 July 2008 at 22:27 (CEST) **Reply**

Automatic analysis of your creations (V1)

Subscribe

[edit code]]

Last comment : 17 years ago | 1 comment | 1 participant in the discussion

Hello.

I'm Escalabot, a robot trained by Escaladiz. I do the daily analysis of all the articles created two days earlier to detect dead-end items, orphaned items and categoryless items.

An **article in impasse** is an article that contains no internal link and an **orphan article** is an article to which no encyclopedic article (so out of portal, category, etc.) has an internal link. For more details on the internal links, you can check out this page.

Categories allow for a consistent classification of articles and are one of Wikipedia's strengths. For more details on the categories, you can check out this page.

Adding links or categories is not mandatory, of course, but it greatly increases the accessibility to your article and therefore its chances of being read by other Internet users on the one hand and being improved by other contributors on the other.

For any information, do not hesitate to visit my trainer. Similarly, if you notice that my analysis is wrong, please tell him.

If you no longer wish to receive my messages, you can request them Here, nevertheless, I advise you to leave this message as it is and, in this case, I will simply add my next analyses, following each other. Escalabot (d) 17 September 2008 at 06:12 (CEST) **Reply**

Analysis of 15 September 2008 [edit code]]

- Ganesha Bulletin was
    - An article in impasse
    - an uncategorized article
    - an Orphan Article (Linked Pages)

Copyvio? [edit code]]

Subscribe

Last comment : 16 years ago | 1 comment | 1 participant in the discussion

Hello, I've cleared Hermetic brotherhood of luxor because it was not an article but the copy and paste of a text. Articles must be written and affirmations must have several sources. Also except very old texts, reproduction is prohibited. You can take it back by writing it. Good luck --Rosier (d) 5 November 2008 at 22:37 (CET) **Reply**

Defamation! [edit code]]

Hello Mr Robin, I'm a direct descendant of Geneviève Zaepffel. I ask you to withdraw all your references and comments infamously the memory of my ancestor. Wikipedia is not the place for this kind of talk. If this request were not made I would seize the administrators of this site without further summons. Convinced that you will understand the validity of my approach, I beg you to accept my respectful greetings.

I am very surprised at your approach knowing that this article refers to clear sources. In what, M. Octonovo defames Mrs. Geneviève Zaepffel? How does this article defame the latter?

I would like to contact you directly. If you have documents about this person I would like to know the modalities to consult them. Sincerely.

Mr. Robin, several remarks are required when reading your article. 1) This one is full of errors. 2) This one provides virtually no objective information about my ancestor. I remind you of the ethics of Wikipedia editors: objectivity. 4) Your article does not speak of my ancestor but of a so-called plantard stone! I think there is a serious problem of historical methodology, you will agree. 3) Your article uses the well-known grammatical trick of those who invent stories: it implies by a series of questions a number of slanders and defamatory lies.

So I will very courteously allow myself to censor your article on all the false and unproven points. On the understanding that Mr Octonovo's only thesis is not enough to speak of objectivity of the statement. It goes without saying that I would systematically oppose any rewriting of this article on themes or facts not proven by hard evidence!

1) born The Bishop: False

2) of which François de Brinon: not demonstrated

3) Around 1927 or 1928: False

4) His material success allows him to transform the family home of Paimpont into a hotel, solarium and cure. False

5) She is suspected of being a pro-German agent. False

6) She will meet a young teenager, Pierre Plantard, in the mid-30's. Having no children, she will take it under his protection. False

7) Emilie Raulo will be called to serve from time to time in the 7th arrondissement among the Zaepffel. Not demonstrated

8) Will she teach him some of his knowledge, convinced that this young teenager has a great future ahead of him? To do you use Pierre Plantard as a "vanguard" at risk as it will be during the occupation of grilling him?. False. Frankly, what are these stupid

questions? You are in full psychotropic delirium!!!!!!!!! You really have to be inflated to write this on an otherwise very serious site if you read the biography of Napoleon or Josephine Baker! If these words were not so hateful for the memory of my ancestor and my family I would like to laugh! So when you have nothing to say interesting we shut up!

9) Thus in December 1940, Pierre Plantard sent a letter to the Marshal to alert him to the imminence of a Jewish-Masonic conspiracy. He will then be placed under surveillance and a police report will make him an isolated and pretentious individual. Out of the subject! I am very happy to learn things about Pierre plantard but his own biographical page has no place here!

10) In 1942, does it place it at the head of an Alpha Galatian organization that could serve the purposes of its ambitions? It seems that Pierre Plantard was only a man of straw. The newsletter of the organization Alpha Galates, entitled "Defeat", holds a collaborationist discourse and makes a great publicity to Geneviève Zaepffel. but behind this facade that Alpha Galtes really hides?. same thing as in 8). But if that's the Martians, who signed up for his brain to tell him where the treasure of the Templars was? And if it is, she is not really dead but she simply left on the moon to bring them?

11) This denunciation obliges the organization to no longer make public "Defeat" but a number of members, Freemasons prefer to leave it for fear that the article of the "Pilori" will lead to an investigation by the authorities of the occupation or the police specialized in the fight against secret societies.

12) What were the links between Pierre Plantard and Geneviève Zaepffel? It remains to be seen whether after the war Pierre Plantard remained in contact with Geneviève Zaepffel? Did she play a role in setting up the Triangle: Gisors - Rennes-le-Château - Stenay?. If you want to do a question contest!

13) Octonovo's research (see bibliography) allows us to discover new elements on this case of Rennes-le-Château whose origins still retain many mysteries. I didn't know that Wikipedia was used for advertising!

I also apologize for changing your text which is considerably shorter; I have obviously kept only the facts somewhat proven and I am sure that you will understand that my approach can only serve historical reality based on tangible facts.

As for contact, I see no inconvenience.

Two authors have studied the links between Zaepffel and Pierre Plantard: Jean-Luc Chaumeil and Octonovo (pseudonym). If I take as a reference the latter, we discover: To Zaepffel's person. 1/ She was born the bishop on March 5, 1892 in Paimpont. 2/ She married on October 15, 1922, with René Zaepffel. 3/ Together they founded the Spiritualist Center in 1927 or 1928. 4/ She creates a prediction firm and publishes many books by engaging in many predictions, some of which are political in nature. - it would be interesting to deliver a synthesis of this written work. His books are very difficult to get. But much of it is at the François Mitterrand Library. B links with Pierre Plantard. 1/ The date of their meeting remains undetermined. Contested you this meeting? 2/ As you write the fact that she did not have a child may explain that she became attached to Pierre Plantard. 3/ unquestionably, there is (as Octonovo writes) a synchronism "of the positions of Pierre Plantard and Genviève Zaepffel": 1/ PP wrote to Edouard Daladier on September 8, 1939 to ask him not to engage in a war planned in advance (Octonovo, p. 43) GZ sent a letter to the latter on September 26, 1939 for the same purpose. Coincidence? Or should we see a collaboration between the two people? Given the age difference, it seems to me that we can hypothesize a GZ influence on his young protégé. I invite you to read Octonovo - a researcher I am not looking to advertise - this is a serious source (pp. 43-44). IT IS NOT A QUESTION OF MAKING A QUESTION COMPETITION BUT OF FINDING ANSWERS. WHETHER YOU LIKE IT OR NOT GZ HAS HAD AN INFLUENCE ON PP. Good on you.

Mr. Robin,

First of all, I wish you the happy new year.

Answers A 1) I repeat to you that Geneviève Zaepffel was not born the bishop. I myself have reconstituted the family tree of my family so when I tell you that this statement is false is that it is. When you have looked, yourself, his civil status certificate you will be able to be stubborn!

2) With regard to the marriage between René and Geneviève, this is an established fact that I have not criticized.

3) After checking the spiritualist center of Paris was founded by Geneviève Zaepffel in 1928

4) It is a truth. I note that your latest writing on this point shows an objective and factual style that you do not use before!

Answers B 1) The date of this meeting is so undetermined that it never happened! No one is able to provide proof of their meeting. So until it is proven I ask you to be historical ethics: no proof no fact! Something that Mr. Octonovo did not do because he is devoid of scruples and writes faster than he thinks! This is something that Jean Luc Chaumeil did in his last book on the Priory of Zion in which he does not consider that Plantard had a special connection with my ancestor! Moreover, Mr. Chaumeil had the good taste to make himself known to my family before writing. What Mr Octonovo did not consider it useful to do. But courtesy and honesty can't be invented!

2) I think it must have been very poor to read or understand me. I forbid you to judge my ancestor's privacy on the issue of his descendants! But who do you take for? Am I just wondering what your mother did? So don't make me get unpleasant to teach you good manners and manners!

3) You talk about synchronism. This is another term that means nothing. He published during the war in his diary quotes that he attributed to Geneviève Zaepffel. But he did the same with other people. And all of a sudden you deduce that he would be at the center of a group of leading intellectuals who would lead some secret society! Let me smile again on the rigor of the historical pseudo-demonstration! Laurent Octonovo did not publish the source-proof of the so-called telegram from Plantard to Daladier. Then he does not know how to read because the document on which he relies to give the date of the telegram of Geneviève Zaepffel does not say: "On September 26, 1939, she addressed to Mr. Daladier, then president of the Council of Ministers, a telegram... but "She said she sent shortly before the outbreak of hostilities to Mr. Daladier, then president of the Council of Ministers, a telegram..." [note of December 3, 1940 n°5095 of the office of the prefect of Paris]. You're talking about a so-called influence of Geneviève Zaepffel on Plantard! But you really don't doubt anything! We put you 2 names side by side in a publication and you talk about influence: that's all you have as a clue. Unfortunately the writing of an article of historical character is far from that of the writing of the novels. it is to believe that the Plantard syndrome is really extremely contagious!

Regarding Laurent Octonovo, I read his articles carefully. And I give you my thought about what he wrote about my ancestor: Mr. Octonovo wrote an article devoid of objectivity, and satisfactory evidence. The only archival reference is itself erroneous (Cf note 12 p141 of the proceedings of the Rennes le château 2006 colloquium). There are many inexactides sometimes distressing: "She will claim to have benefited from the appearances of the angel Judicael..." (same work page 130). Judicael is not an angel but a king of Brittany despite the ending in "ael"! This proves in any case that Mr. Octonovo has no mastery of the file on which he erects with a pride full of aplomb in perfect judge: "while she had her hour of glory but it must be said that the character is edifying: mythomaniac, illuminated, venal (but sometimes with access of generosity surprising), collabo, recognized clairvoyant but myopic..."http://www.portail-rennes-le-chateau.com/rennes_le_chateau_affaire_paradoxale.htm And these accusations flourish throughout the proceedings of the conference. No Mr. Octonovo does not serve the story by bringing contradictory elements of the file to try to understand the complex character that was my ancestor but serves his personal interests by seeking an umpteenth bogus trail to those whom credulity suggests that the stories of Gérard de Sède and Plantard could have a existence of their own outside of their now deceased inventors.

To conclude Mr. Octonovo says he used the archive files of the Prefecture of Police. I have also consulted them and that of my ancestor is far more complex than the extracts published by your author suggests. And yes, Mr. Robin, historical reality is as complex and difficult as our own present. Mr. Octonovo had the intellectual dishonesty of using only the prosecution pieces and not among the most objective: in particular page 133 of the acts of 2006 which copies the content of a letter of delation. So you will recognize with me that as a historically objective piece we can look for better! A publication that takes place from a work of confronting the sources is not a scientific and serious work! Mr. Octonovo's work is not historical and serious! His publisher does not seem to ask himself a lot of questions of common sense to the reading of the texts that he takes the task of publishing!!!! Finally you have the right to ask yourself all the questions you want but keep them for yourself or for the internet forums. Wikipedia articles are made to expose proven facts in the search for knowledge.

Well cordially and entirely at your disposal to answer your questions.

All my wishes for this new year. I suggest you write this article yourself. It would seem interesting to me that the work of Geneviève Zaepffel is finally republished so that we judge on play. I can not move to Paris but I warned one of the leaders of the association l'Oeil du Sphinx as well as a bookseller friend who will be happy to meet you. If you want to exchange your email addresses I would serve as an intermediary. Sincerely. M. Robin.

Message from Octonovo :

Dear Sir,

The meeting of Pierre Plantard with Geneviève Zaepffel holds deductions made from her police file and a neighborhood investigation that I operated in Paimpont with 2 neighbors who told me that Mrs. Plantard came in season to cook and that she came accompanied by her son. Technically, when information is cross-checked by two independent channels (and there I see at least two: the neighbors), it is considered normally reliable.

Mrs. Zaepffel is only a secondary figure in my study and I have devoted only a small part of my work to it. I will not trigger a controversy here on my conclusions. When I put my site back on track, I will put, as the law allows me, my sources online and I will let you know right here.

Everyone can form an opinion with at least the same information as me.

As far as I am concerned, I will understand that you are causing words to defend the honor of Mrs. Zaepffel when you have learned to tatillion about what you write, especially about me.

Cordially

Octonovo

It's done : http://www.octonovo.org/RIC/Fr/docu/gz001.htm

Ganesha Bulletin (d · h · j · ·) [edit code]]

Subscribe

Last comment : 16 years ago | 1 comment | 1 participant in the discussion

Hello,

I asked for the deletion of this article that you created because it did not seem to me to meet Wikipedia's eligibility criteria, especially in terms of notoriety.

Friendly. -O.M.H- ♦ ✉ ♦ -H.M.O- 21 January 2009 at 14:48 (CET) **Reply**

François Mitterrand and Esotericism is proposed for deletion [edit code]]

Subscribe

Last comment : 16 years ago | 1 comment | 1 participant in the discussion

Hello,

An article in the edition of which you have invested or your field of knowledge, François Mitterrand and Esotericism, has been proposed for deletion (cf. Wikipedia:Pages to be deleted).

The discussion takes place on the page Discuss:François Mitterrand and Esotericism/Deletion. After reading the Eligibility Criteria for the items, you can give your opinion.

Hadrian (causing) 12 February 2009 at 11:13 (CET) **Reply**

Jean Gisclon [edit code]]

Subscribe

Last comment : 16 years ago | 1 comment | 1 participant in the discussion

Hello.

This page was whitened at 17:54 by JLM (d · c · b) with the word *"bleached ; copy of : http://www.vieillestiges.com/Hommes/html/Gisclon.*html ".

I saw that this page was a copy/paste, flagged by a patrol boat, so I deleted the page.

If you want more information about the republication of information on the net, you can always consult the dedicated help page.

Cordially, Sebleouf (d) 13 June 2009 at 20:35 (CEST) **Reply**

Signatures [edit code]]

Subscribe

Last comment : 16 years ago | 1 comment | 1 participant in the discussion

Hello,
Hello,

When you post a comment in a chat page, could you make a habit of signing it by clicking the button that looks like a signature, above the window where you wrote your text, as shown above the button bar? It will add the code --~~~~~ in your text, code that will turn into a pretty and very useful signature when you click on "Publish". Kindly. --Christophe Dioux (d) 15 June 2009 at 20:44 (CEST) **Reply**

Paul Copin-Albancelli [edit code]]

Subscribe

Last comment : 15 years ago | 1 comment | 1 participant in the discussion

The article has now evolved according to your recommendations in PDD. I invite you to complete it. --85.5.145.85 (d) 16 January 2010 at 14:49 (CET) **Reply**

PàS [edit code]]

Subscribe

Last comment : 15 years ago | 1 comment | 1 participant in the discussion

In the context of an eligibility verification procedure, the article Geneviève Zaepffel is proposed to a vote on its maintenance in the encyclopedia.

Do not hesitate to speak on the pdd. Lebrouillard Ask for hearing 27 August 2010 at 10:02 (CEST) **Reply**

Necessary action : username of a known person or company [edit code]]

Subscribe

Last comment : 8 years ago | 1 comment | 1 participant in the discussion

Hello,

You have chosen as your username the name of a public person. This is not a problem if you are actually that person, or someone acting with that person's consent. But this being unverifiable, you will understand that this situation is likely to raise doubts among other contributors, who could see in you a third party seeking to usurp the identity of this individual, in order to harm him.

Also, it would be preferable for you @to prove your identity at @, for example by sending a scan of an identity document, or by specifying a professional telephone number to contact you, or by sending your message from a business address.

If you are not the public person whose name you have borrowed, or if you do not wish to confirm your identity, we invite you to request the rename of your user account to avoid confusion.

Useful link : Wikipedia:Username.

Thank you for your understanding.

NAH, the 26 May 2017 at 01:06 (CEST). **Reply**

Warning deletion " Jacques Sirgent » [edit code]]

🔔 Subscribe

Last comment : 7 years ago | 1 comment | 1 participant in the discussion

Hello,

The article " is proposed for deletion (cf. Wikipedia:Pages to be deleted). As a participant in the associated article or project, you are invited to give your opinion in the light of the existence of reliable and independent secondary sources and general and specific eligibility criteria.

Remember that the founding principles of Wikipedia do not guarantee any right to have an article on Wikipedia.

Access to the debate

Chris has a liege (Talk) 28 January 2018 at 01:31 (CET) **Reply**

Warning deletion " Jean Robin (writer) »

🔔 Subscribe

[edit code]]

Last comment : 7 years ago | 1 comment | 1 participant in the discussion

Hello,

The article **"Jean Robin (writer)"** is proposed for deletion (cf. Wikipedia:Pages to be deleted). As a participant in the associated article or project, you are invited to give your opinion in the light of the existence of reliable and independent secondary sources and general and specific eligibility criteria.

Remember that the founding principles of Wikipedia do not guarantee any right to have an article on Wikipedia.

Access to the debate

Chris has a liege (Talk) 22 July 2018 at 00:45 (CEST) **Reply**

Categories : [+]

This page was last modified on 19 March 2019 at 10:35.

Droit d'auteurCopyright: the texts are available under Creative Commons license attribution, sharing under the same conditions; other conditions may apply. See the terms of use for more details, as well as graphic credits.
Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the Wikimedia Foundation, Inc., a charitable organization governed by subsection 501(c)(3) of the U.S. Tax Code.

a WIKIMEDIA project

Powered by MediaWiki